

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 459 Alexandria, Virginia P2913-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/713,868	11/14/2003	Pete D. Vogt	5038-338	2064
32231 75	590 08/26/2005		EXAMINER .	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			PEYTON, TAMMARA R	
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2182	
	•		DATE MAIL ED: 09/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/713,868	VOGT, PETE D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE of this commission	Tammara R. Peyton	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no claim 18. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halbert et al., (US 6,493,250).

As per claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-16, 19-23, 25, and 26, Halbert teaches a memory buffer comprising:

a redrive circuit to receive a signal from a first point-to-point link and redrive the signal on a second point-to-point link; and a memory interface coupled to the redrive circuit. (Abstract, cols. 3-10) However, Halbert does not expressly teach wherein the memory interface teaches the use of a data accumulator. However, Halbert taught that in prior related system the memory interface is capable of handling different transmission speeds including burst transfers. Halbert specifically teaches wherein the

redrive circuit handles control and data signals from the memory interface and further teaches controlling data flow in a unidirectional point-to-point link. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made that Halbert can accommodate write data to a memory device and/or read data from a memory device data in both directions.

As per claims 3, 12, 17, and 24, Halbert does not expressly teach wherein the delivering the write/read data in a FIFO structure. However, FIFO structures are well known in the art, thereby making use of this type of write/read delivery obvious to one of ordinary skill in the field of memory architectures.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Popovici Dov can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Art Unit: 2182

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, Randolph Building, Customer Service Window

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Tammara Peyton August 22, 2005

TAMMARA PEYTON DRIMARY EXAMINER